Introduction to physics of EIC High energy QCD

Krzysztof Golec-Biernat

Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN

EIC seminar, 28th March 2022

프 > 프

- Y. V. Kovchegov, E. Levin, Quantum Chromodynamics at High Energy, Cambridge Univ. Press 2012
- S. Donnachie, G. Dosch, P. Landshoff, O. Nachtmann, Pomeron Physics and QCD, Cambridge Univ. Press 2002
- V. Barone, E. Predazzi, High-Energy Particle Diffraction, Springer 2002
- K. Golec-Biernat, Habilitation thesis, 2001 https://annapurna.ifj.edu.pl/~golec/data/teaching/files/wyklady/hab.pdf

• E •

Small x limit of DIS

표 ▶ 표

Bjorken limit - Bjorken scaling and its violation

$$Q^2 o \infty \,, \qquad \qquad x = rac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q} = const$$

Small x limit - strong rise of gluon distribution and structure functions

$$Q^2=const\,, \qquad \qquad x=rac{Q^2}{Q^2+W^2}pprox rac{Q^2}{W^2}
ightarrow 0$$

Small x limit = High energy limit:

$$S_{\gamma^* p} = (q+P)^2 = W^2 \to \infty$$



⊒ ⊳

The two limits in kinematic plane



æ

-

Gluon and sea quarks dominance for $x \rightarrow 0$



• Valence quarks seen for $x \sim 1$:

 $F_2 = x \left(\frac{4}{9} u_v + \frac{1}{9} d_v + \frac{4}{3} S \right)$

- Sea quarks distribution seen for $x \to 0$.
- Strong Bjorken scaling violation at small x due to gluons

Valence quark versus sea quark DIS



→ 글 ▶ = 글

Collinear factorization

Collinear factorization formula:

$$F_2(x,Q^2) = \int_0^1 d\xi \left[\sum_f C_f(\alpha_s,x,\xi) q_f(\xi,Q^2) + C_g(\alpha_s,x,\xi) G(\xi,Q^2) \right]$$

Coefficient functions from pQCD

 $C_{f} = e_{f}^{2} \xi \, \delta(\xi - x) + \alpha_{s}(Q^{2}) \, C_{f}^{(1)}(x,\xi) + \dots, \qquad C_{g} = \alpha_{s}(Q^{2}) \, C_{g}^{(1)}(x,\xi) + \dots$

DGLAP evolution equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial q_f(x, Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2} &= P_{qq} \otimes q_f + P_{qG} \otimes G \\ \frac{\partial \bar{q}_f(x, Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2} &= P_{qq} \otimes \bar{q}_f + P_{qG} \otimes G \\ \frac{\partial G(x, Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2} &= P_{Gq} \otimes \sum_f (q_f + \bar{q}_f) + P_{GG} \otimes G \end{aligned}$$

Splitting functions from pQCD:

$$P_{ij}(z, Q^2) = \underbrace{\alpha_s(Q^2) P_{ij}^{(0)}(z)}_{LL} + \underbrace{\alpha_s^2(Q^2) P_{ij}^{(1)}(z)}_{NLL} + \underbrace{\alpha_s^3(Q^2) P_{ij}^{(2)}(z)}_{NNLL} + \dots$$

$$\underbrace{\alpha_s^3(Q^2) P_{ij}^{(2)}(z)}_{NNLL} + \dots$$

$$\underbrace{\alpha_s^3(Q^2) P_{ij}^{(2)}(z)}_{NNLL} + \dots$$

$$\underbrace{\alpha_s^3(Q^2) P_{ij}^{(2)}(z)}_{NNLL} + \dots$$



k_T−factorization formula for x ≪ 1:

$$F_2(x,Q^2) = \int \frac{d^2k_T}{k_T^2} \Phi_{\gamma}(k_T,Q^2) f(x,k_T)$$

▶ Photon impact factor $\Phi_{\gamma}(k_T, Q^2)$ with off-shell gluon: $k^2 = -k_T^2$

• Unintegrated gluon distribution $f(x, k_T)$:

$$xG(x,Q^2) = \int \frac{d^2k_T}{k_T^2} \,\theta(Q-|k_T|) \,f(x,k_T)$$

▶ $f(x, k_T)$ from the BFKL equation (Balitsky, Fadin, Kuraev, Lipatov, 1976-78)

Large $Y = \log(1/x)$ from strong ordering in longitudinal momenta of gluons:

 $1 \gg x_1 \gg x_2 \gg \ldots \gg x$

and no restrictions on their transverse momenta - multi-Regge kinematics.

Summation of powers $(\alpha_s Y)^n$ gives BFKL evolution equation in rapidity Y:



Conformally invariant, infrared and ultraviolet safe

-

BFKL equation solution

• Asymptotic solution for $Y = \log(1/x) \to \infty$

$$f(x, k_T^2) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^{-(\alpha_P(0)-1)}}{\sqrt{2\pi DY}} \exp\left\{\frac{-\log^2(k_T^2)}{\sqrt{2D Y}}\right\}$$

Power like growth with $x \rightarrow 0$ given by the BFKL pomeron intercept

$$\alpha_P(0) - 1 = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} 4 \ln 2 \approx 0.5$$

▶ Diffusion of transverse momenta into infrared: $k_T < \Lambda_{QCD}$. Solutions for Y = 0, 1, ..., 10



From k_T -factorization formula power-like behaviour of $F_2(x)$:

 $F_2(x) = \Phi_{\gamma} \otimes f \sim x^{-(\alpha_p(0)-1)} \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \sigma_{\gamma^* p} \sim (W^2)^{(\alpha_p(0)-1)}$

Froissart unitarity bound in hadronic reactions

 $\sigma_{tot} < c \log^2(s)$

BFKL solution breaks unitarity bound. Unitarization of BFKL approach necessary.

Sensitivity to infrared region $k_T < \Lambda_{QCD}$ questions validity of pQCD approach.

How to correct the BFKL aproach?

▲目▶ 目 ∽Q@

Dipole approach to high energy QCD

프 > 프

Change of frame in small x DIS



- Infinite momentum frame (P⁺ → ∞) virtual probe γ^{*} resolves partonic structure of the proton.
- Proton rest frame (P⁺ ≈ m_p) virtual probe γ^{*} developes partonic configurations long before the interaction with the proton.



Coherence length for such fluctuations:

$$l_c = \frac{1}{xm_p} \gg \frac{1}{m_p} = 0.2\,\mathrm{fm}$$

Virtual photon light-cone wave function:

$$|\gamma^*\rangle = \Psi_{q\bar{q}}(z_q) |q\bar{q}\rangle + \Psi_{q\bar{q}g}(z_q, z_g) |q\bar{q}g\rangle + \dots$$

A 3 b

Soft gluon emissions with $z_g \ll z_q$ in onium (A. H. Mueller, Nucl.Phys. B415 (1994) 373)



▶ Dipole splitting $(xy) \rightarrow (xz) + (zy)$ in transverse space with probability distr.

$$\frac{dP}{dYd^2z} = \frac{N_c\alpha_s}{2\pi^2} \frac{(\vec{x}-\vec{y})^2}{(\vec{x}-\vec{z})^2(\vec{z}-\vec{y})^2} \equiv K(x,y,z)$$

• Branching process with generating functional Z(x, y, Y; u) and Z(x, y, Y; 0) = 1

$$\frac{dZ(x,y,Y;u)}{dY} = \int d^2 z \, \mathcal{K}(x,y,z) \Big\{ Z(x,z,Y;u) \, Z(z,y,Y;u) - Z(x,y,Y;u) \Big\}$$

• Multi-dipole distributions in onium $(r_i = x_i - y_i, b_i = (x_i + y_i)/2)$

$$n_k(r_1, b_1, \ldots, r_k, b_k; Y) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\delta^k Z(x, y, Y, u)}{\delta u(r_1, b_1) \ldots \delta u(r_k, b_k)} \Big|_{u_i=0}$$

BFKL equation in dipole picture



One-dipole distribution

$$n_1(r,b;Y) = \frac{\delta Z(x,y,Y,u)}{\delta u(r,b)}\Big|_{u=0}$$

BFKL evolution equation for n₁

$$\frac{dn_1(x, y, Y)}{dY} = \int d^2 z \, K(x, y, z) \left\{ n_1(x, z, Y) + n_1(z, y, Y) - n_1(x, y, Y) \right\}$$

▶ BFKL growth in the number of color dipoles with $Y = \log(1/x) \rightarrow \infty$

$$n_1(Y) \sim e^{(\alpha(0)-1)Y} = x^{-(\alpha(0)-1)}$$

Using color dipoles instead of transverse coordinates of gluons allowed to formulate the BFKL equation in an elegant form.

The Balitsky-Kovchegov equation

The BK equation is justified for onium scattering on nucleons in a large nucleus (Kovchegov, 1998-99)



Each dipole interacts via multiple scattering on nucleons with scattering matrix in Glauber-Gribov-Mueller model, 1999

$$s_0(r,b,Y=0) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha_s \pi^2}{2N_c}r^2 T(b) \times G(x,1/r^2)\right\}$$

• Scattering matrix of the parent dipole for $Y \to \infty$

$$S(x, y, Y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \int d^2 r_1 d^2 b_1 \dots d^2 r_k d^2 b_k$$

$$\times \frac{\delta^k Z(x, y, Y, u)}{\delta u(r_1, b_1) \dots \delta u(r_k, b_k)} \Big|_{u_i=0} s_0(r_1, n_1) \dots s_0(r_k, b_k) = Z(x, y, Y, s_0)$$

Hence the BK equation for the dipole scattering matrix

$$\frac{dS(x,y,Y)}{dY} = \int d^2 z \, K(x,y,z) \Big\{ S(x,z,Y) \, S(z,y,Y) - S(x,y,Y) \Big\}$$

▶ Introducing the dipole forward scattering amplitude: N(x, y, Y) = 1 - S(x, y, Y)

$$\frac{dN(x,y)}{dY} = \int d^2 z \, K(x,y,z) \bigg\{ \underbrace{N(x,z) + N(z,y) - N(x,y)}_{BFKL} - N(x,z)N(z,y) \bigg\}$$

Nonlinear equation with two fixed points: N = 0, 1 which implies

 $0 \leq N(r, b, Y) \leq 1$

Unitarity problem solved for small x DIS

$$\sigma_{\gamma^* p}(x, Q^2) = \int d^2 r \, d^2 b \int_0^1 dz \, |\Psi_{q\bar{q}}(r, z, Q^2)|^2 \, N(r, b, Y)$$

• Exponential growth of $\sigma_{\gamma^* p}$ with $Y = \log(1/x) \to \infty$ is tamed!

< ≣ >

= nar

The BK equation solutions

▶ Neglecting *b*-dependence, N(r, b) = N(r), solutions for $x = 10^{-2}, ..., 10^{-8}$



Saturation scale

▶ BK developes saturation scale $Q_s^2(Y) = Q_0^2 e^{\lambda Y}$ such that $N(r, Y) = N(rQ_s(Y))$



For $Y \rightarrow \infty$ the dipole amplitude N saturates for smaller dipole sizes r

Saturation scale defines transition to saturation region. For r = 2/Q we consider

$$N(rQ_s(Y)) = 0.6 \rightarrow \frac{Q_s(Y)}{Q} = 1 \rightarrow \lambda Y = \ln(Q^2/Q_0^2)$$

This is seen in the kinematic plane.

Parton saturation in kinematic plane

(Gelis, Iancu, Jalilian-Marian, Venugopalan, 2010)



≣ ▶

BFKL versus BK

Fourier transform: $N(r, Y) \rightarrow \phi(k_T, Y)$ (GB, Motyka Staśto, 2003)



Suppression of power-like growth in x and diffusion to infrared in the BK solution.

Problems with the BK equation - nonperturbative tails in b-dependence

Experimental evidence od saturation - geometric scaling

(Staśto, GB, Kwieciński, 2001) $N(rQ_s) o \sigma_{\gamma^*p}(au=Q^2/Q_s^2(x))$



Krzysztof Golec-Biernat Introduction to physics of EIC High energy QCD 23 / 27

(GB, Wuesthoff, 1998)



프 > 프

Experimental evidence of saturation - inclusive diffraction in DIS

(GB, Wuesthoff, 1999)



Experimental tests of saturation

Exclusive diffraction in DIS (L. Motyka with collaborators)



- The same dipole scattering amplitude N in these reactions.
- Forward scatterring processes in ep/N and pp, e.g. forward jet/ γ/DY production (K. Kutak with collaborators)



 CGC (Color Glass Condensate) - effective QCD theory of gluon saturation (McLerran, Venugopalan, 1994)

- More detailed presentations in future lectures.
- ▶ EIC will open new opportunities with nucleons for QCD studies in DIS.
- Keep fingers crossed for the future of our world.

∃ ⊳